

# How to Prove Things

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two compound propositions.

## 1 Proving Logical Equivalences

syntax:

$$P \equiv Q \quad \text{or} \quad P \leftrightarrow Q$$

### 1.1 Using Truth Tables

1. Construct a two truth tables one for  $P$  and one for  $Q$  having the same order of truth values for the sub-propositions. Then show that the truth tables are the same, that is, the last columns of each table are the same.
2. Construct one truth table for

$$P \leftrightarrow Q$$

and show that  $P \leftrightarrow Q$  is a tautology, i.e., the last column of the truth table contains only the  $T$ .

### 1.2 Using Known Logical Equivalences

1. Starting from  $P$ , using known logical equivalences ( from the tables that were handed out or from the book p. 24), derive  $Q$ .
2. Show that  $P \leftrightarrow Q$  is a tautology, i.e.,

$$P \leftrightarrow Q \equiv T$$

Starting from  $P \leftrightarrow Q$ , using known logical equivalences ( from the tables that were handed out or from the book p. 24), derive  $T$ .

**Recommended method:** 1.2.1 as it is the least time consuming.

**Example** (from h.w p.27 q.24): Show that

$$\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \vee r)$$

$\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv$	$\neg p \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r)$	by $u \rightarrow v \equiv \neg u \vee v$
	$\equiv p \vee (\neg q \vee r)$	by $u \rightarrow v \equiv \neg u \vee v$
	$\equiv (p \vee \neg q) \vee r$	by associative law
	$\equiv (\neg q \vee p) \vee r$	by commutative law
	$\equiv \neg q \vee (p \vee r)$	by associative law
	$\equiv q \rightarrow (p \vee r)$	by $\neg u \vee v \equiv u \rightarrow v$

## 2 Proving Theorems/Validity of Mathematical Arguments

syntax:

$$\frac{P}{\therefore Q} \quad \text{or} \quad P \Rightarrow Q$$

### 2.1 Using Rules of Inference

Starting from the premise/premises  $P$  derive  $Q$  using rules of inference such as *modus ponens* and *syllogism* (from the tables that were handed out or from the book p. 58).

### 2.2 Proving a Tautology

Show that  $p \rightarrow q$  is a tautology, i.e.

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv T$$

in which case you have to prove a logical equivalence using the methods for proving logical equivalences (section 1).

**Recommended method:** 2.1 as it is the least time consuming.

**Example :** Show that

$$(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (r \rightarrow s) \wedge (t \vee \neg s) \wedge (\neg t \vee v) \wedge \neg v \Rightarrow \neg p$$

	steps	reason
1.	$p \rightarrow r$	premise
2.	$r \rightarrow s$	premise
3.	$p \rightarrow s$	steps 1,2 and <i>hypothetical syllogism</i>
4.	$t \vee \neg s$	premise
5.	$s \rightarrow t$	$t \vee \neg s \equiv \neg s \vee t \equiv s \rightarrow t$
6.	$\neg t \vee v$	premise
7.	$t \rightarrow v$	$\neg t \vee v \equiv t \rightarrow v$
8.	$s \rightarrow v$	steps 5,7 and <i>hypothetical syllogism</i>
9.	$p \rightarrow v$	steps 3,8 and <i>hypothetical syllogism</i>
10.	$\neg v$	premise
11.	$\neg p$	steps 9,10 and <i>modus tollens</i>